
Algorithms for Big Data (XI)

Chihao Zhang

Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Nov. 29, 2019

REVIEW

Last week, we start the topic on **faster algorithms for numerical linear algebra**.

We learnt an **almost-linear** algorithm to approximate **Matrix Multiplication**.

Next, we introduced **spectral graph theory**.

We will see how to design **almost-linear** algorithms for graph problems using spectral tools.

GRAPH AS A MATRIX

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph on n vertices **without self-loops and parallel edges**.

Its adjacency matrix $A(G) = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in [n]}$ is symmetric.

We are interested in the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A ...

For symmetric matrices, the spectrum is well-structured.

SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

Theorem

An $n \times n$ symmetric matrix A has n **real** eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ with corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ which are **orthonormal**. Moreover, it holds that

$$A = V\Lambda V^T,$$

where $V = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_n]$ and $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$.

GRAPH LAPLACIAN FOR REGULAR GRAPHS

In the following, we assume the graph G is **d-regular**. We will see how to generalize to irregular graphs later today.

Sometimes it is convenient to **shift and scale** the eigenvalues of A .

The **Laplacian** of G is $L \triangleq dI - A$.

The **normalized Laplacian** of G is $N \triangleq \frac{L}{d} = I - \frac{1}{d}A$.

We already verified the following identity:

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{[n]} : \mathbf{x}^T L \mathbf{x} = \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (x(u) - x(v))^2.$$

RAYLEIGH QUOTIENT

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the ordinary inner product of two vectors, i.e., $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y}$.

Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a matrix. The **Rayleigh quotient** is

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad R_M(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, M\mathbf{x} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}.$$

It is clear that if λ is an eigenvalue of M with eigenvector \mathbf{v} , then

$$R_M(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}, M\mathbf{v} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}, \lambda\mathbf{v} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle} = \lambda.$$

COURANT-FISCHER THEOREM

Let M be a symmetric matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$.

Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ be corresponding eigenvectors.

Theorem (Courant-Fischer Theorem)

$$\lambda_k = \min_{k\text{-dim } S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n} \max_{\mathbf{x} \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} R_M(\mathbf{x})$$

Corollary

$$\lambda_1 = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} R_M(\mathbf{x}), \quad \lambda_n = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} R_M(\mathbf{x}).$$

PROOF

We first show that

$$\min_{k\text{-dim } S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n} \max_{\mathbf{x} \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} R_M(\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda_k.$$

We construct a k -dim space S such that any $\mathbf{x} \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ satisfies $R_M(\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda_k$.

$S = \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ satisfies our need.

We then prove that any k -dim $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists some $\mathbf{x} \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ satisfying $R_M(\mathbf{x}) \geq \lambda_k$.

Choose nonzero $\mathbf{x} \in X \cap \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_k, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$.

EIGENVALUES FOR LAPLACIANS

Recall L is the Laplacian and N is the normalized Laplacian.

Theorem

Assume $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of N , then

- ▶ $\lambda_1 = 0$;
- ▶ $\lambda_n \leq 2$ and $\lambda_n = 2$ if and only if one of components of G is bipartite;
- ▶ $\lambda_k = 0$ if and only if G has at least k components.

Theorem

$$\lambda_k = \max_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \perp \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k-1}) \\ \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}}} R_M(\mathbf{x})$$

LAPLACIANS FOR GENERAL GRAPHS

For a not necessarily simple graph G with adjacency matrix A , define its **Laplacian** as

$$L = D - A$$

where $D = \text{diag}(\text{deg}(v_1), \dots, \text{deg}(v_n))$.

The **normalized Laplacian** is

$$N = D^{-\frac{1}{2}} L D^{-\frac{1}{2}} = I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}} A D^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Both L and N are **positive semi-definite**.

RAYLEIGH QUOTIENT (FOR GENERAL NORMALIZED LAPLACIAN)

Let $N = D^{-\frac{1}{2}}LD^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ be a normalized Laplacian, then

$$R_N(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}, \left(D^{-\frac{1}{2}}LD^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \mathbf{x} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} = \frac{\langle D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{x}, LD^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{x} \rangle}{\langle D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{x}, DD^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{x} \rangle} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}, L\mathbf{y} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{y}, D\mathbf{y} \rangle},$$

where $\mathbf{y} = D^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{x}$.

It is an **exercise** to prove the theorem in the previous slide for general graphs.

It is useful to view L as an **operator**, namely

$$L\mathbf{x}(i) = \deg(i)x(i) - \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} x(j).$$

EXAMPLES

The Laplacian of complete graph K_n : $E = \binom{[n]}{2}$.

- ▶ $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_n = n$;
- ▶ $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ can be a basis of $\text{span}(\mathbf{1})^\perp$.

The Laplacian of a star S_n : $E = \{\{1, j\} : 2 \leq j \leq n\}$.

- ▶ $\lambda_0 = 0, \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = 1, \lambda_n = n$;
- ▶ $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{i+1}$ for $2 \leq i < n, \mathbf{v}_n = (n-1)\mathbf{e}_1 - \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} \mathbf{e}_j$.

RANDOM WALK (ON REGULAR GRAPHS)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a d -regular graph.

One can naturally define a random walk: standing at vertex i , move to one of its randomly chosen neighbour j .

If we use denote $P = (p_{ij})$ such that $p_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1/d & \text{if } \{i, j\} \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$, then

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = P^T \mathbf{x}_t,$$

where $\mathbf{x}_t \in [0, 1]^{[n]}$ is the distribution of the location of you at time t .

We can make P **lazy** by defining $\tilde{P} = \frac{1}{2} (I + P)$.

What is the spectrum of \tilde{P} ?

\tilde{P} is simply $\frac{1}{2} \left(I + \frac{A}{d} \right)$, so it satisfies

$$0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n = 1.$$

A distribution \mathbf{x} is called stable if $\mathbf{x} = \tilde{P}^T \mathbf{x}$.

Theorem

If G is connected, then \mathbf{x}_t converges to a stable distribution whatever the initial one is.

Proof by Spectral Decomposition Theorem.