

# [AI2613 Lecture 9] Poisson Distribution, Poisson Process

May 14, 2022

## 1 Poisson Distribution

**Example 1** Suppose that there exists a restaurant. The number of customers in the past five days are: 100, 120, 80, 75 and 110. To prepare ingredients in the right amount, we want to estimate the number of tomorrow's customers based on the information of the past several days. A natural idea is to use the average number (e.g., 97 in our instance) of the past. However, there are three out of the first five days that the restaurant do not prepare sufficient food if they adopt this in practice.

To analyze the distribution of the number of customers, we make some assumptions first. Assume that there are  $n$  isometric slots in a day. Every slot is sufficiently small s.t. at most one customer comes into the restaurant in a slot. The event "there is a customer coming in a slot" happens w.p.  $p$  and slots are independent of each other.

Let  $X_i \triangleq \mathbf{1}[\text{there is a customer coming in the } i\text{-th slot}]$  for  $i \in [n]$ . Then we know  $X_i \sim \text{Ber}(p)$  and  $X_i$ 's are mutually independent. Let  $Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and  $\lambda = \mathbf{E}[Z_n] = pn$ . Now lets compute the distribution of the number of customers  $Z_n$ . For any constant  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr [Z_n = k] &= \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{k!} \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^k \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{n^k} \cdot \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{-k}. \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\lambda$  and  $k$  are constants. Thus, when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , Equation (1) equals to  $\frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}$  and  $Z_n$  follows Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda$ .

**Definition 1 (Poisson Distribution)** We say a random variable  $X$  follows Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda$  or  $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$ , if for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\Pr [X = k] = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} & \text{if } k \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases}$$

Since we get the distribution of  $Z_n$  by taking the limit, we need to verify that it is a distribution and it's mean is indeed  $\lambda$ :

- We have  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} = 1$ . Thus it is indeed a distribution.
- Since

$$\mathbf{E}[Z_n] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda} = \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-\lambda} = \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{(k)!} e^{-\lambda} = \lambda,$$

the expectation of  $Z_n$  indeed equals to  $\lambda$ .

Then what is the distribution of two days customers? Let's examine the following property of Poisson distributions.

**Proposition 2** Suppose  $X_1 \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_1)$  and  $X_2 \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_2)$  are two independent random variables. Then

$$X_1 + X_2 \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2).$$

*Proof.* For  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr [X_1 + X_2 = n] &= \sum_{m=0}^n \Pr [X_1 = m] \cdot \Pr [X_2 = n - m] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{\lambda_1^m}{m!} e^{-\lambda_1} \cdot \frac{\lambda_2^{n-m}}{(n-m)!} e^{-\lambda_2} \\ &= e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \cdot \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} \frac{\lambda_1^m \lambda_2^{n-m}}{n!} \\ &= e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^n}{n!} \end{aligned}$$

□

It is easy to extend the proposition to a sequence of independent Poissons and yield the following corollary.

**Corollary 3** Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are  $n$  mutually independent random variables where  $X_i \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_i)$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Pois}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\right).$$

## 2 Poisson Process

### 2.1 Definition of Poisson Process

If we consider a period of time rather than a single day, e.g., from day  $t_1$  to day  $t_2$ , then the number of customers follows  $\text{Pois}((t_2 - t_1)\lambda)$ . Note that the time can be continuous. Thus, we introduce the notion of Poisson process.

**Definition 4** A Poisson process  $\{N(s) : s \geq 0\}$  with rate  $\lambda$  is a stochastic process that

1.  $N(0) = 0$ ;
2.  $\forall t, s \geq 0, N(t + s) - N(s) \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda t)$ ;
3.  $\forall t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n, N(t_1) - N(t_0), N(t_2) - N(t_1), \dots, N(t_n) - N(t_{n-1})$  are mutually independent.

In fact, we can view the Poisson process in another way by considering the time gaps between arrivals. To see this, we first recall the exponential distribution.

**Definition 5** The probability density function of the exponential distribution with rate  $\lambda > 0$  is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The corresponding cumulative distribution function is

$$F(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t f(x) dx = \int_0^t \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}.$$

Then the following proposition gives another characterization of the Poisson process.

**Proposition 6** Suppose that  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  is a sequence of independent random variables that  $\tau_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ . Let  $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i$ . For  $s \geq 0$ , let  $N(s) = \max \{n \mid T_n \leq s\}$ . Then  $N(s)$  is a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ .

Before proving this proposition, we discuss some properties of the exponential distribution.

## 2.2 Properties of Exponential Distribution

**Proposition 7** Let  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ . Then  $\mathbf{E}[X] = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[X] &= \int_0^\infty t \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda t} dt = \left(-te^{-\lambda t}\right)\Big|_0^\infty + \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda t}\Big|_0^\infty = \frac{1}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

In Proposition 6, we can regard  $\tau_i$  as the time gap between the arrival of the  $i - 1$ -th and the  $i$ -th customer. The parameter  $\lambda$  can be understood as the coming rate. Then the CDF of  $\tau_i$   $F(t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}$  is the probability that the  $i$ -th customer comes within time  $t$  after the arrival of the  $i - 1$ -th person.

Since  $\lambda$  is the arriving rate, we can imagine that the average time between arrivals  $\mathbf{E}[\tau_i]$  is the reciprocal of  $\lambda$ . This gives an intuition of Proposition 7.

□

**Proposition 8** Let  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ . Then  $\mathbf{Var}[X] = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$ .

*Proof.* Note that

$$\mathbf{Var}[X] = \mathbf{E}[X^2] - \mathbf{E}[X]^2 = \mathbf{E}[X^2] - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[X^2] &= \int_0^\infty t^2 \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda t} dt = \left(-t^2 e^{-\lambda t}\right)\Big|_0^\infty + \int_0^\infty 2te^{-\lambda t} dt^2 \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty t \cdot e^{-\lambda t} dt = \mathbf{E}[X] \cdot \frac{2}{\lambda} = \frac{2}{\lambda^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $\mathbf{Var}[X] = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$ .

□

**Proposition 9 (Lack of Memory)** Let  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ . Then for any  $t, s > 0$ ,

$$\Pr [X > t + s \mid X > s] = \Pr [X > t].$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr [X > t + s \mid X > s] &= \frac{\Pr [X > t + s \wedge X > s]}{\Pr [X > s]} = \frac{\Pr [X > t + s]}{\Pr [X > s]} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda(s+t)}}{e^{-\lambda s}} = e^{-\lambda t}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 10 (Exponential Races)** Let  $X_1 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_1)$  and  $X_2 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_2)$  be two independent random variables. Then  $Y \triangleq \min \{X_1, X_2\} \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ .

*Proof.* By the independence, we have

$$\Pr [Y > t] = \Pr [X_1 > t \wedge X_2 > t] = \Pr [X_1 > t] \cdot \Pr [X_2 > t] = e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)t}.$$

□

Proposition 10 describes the distribution of the earliest customer of two restaurants. And we can easily generalize this to the case of more restaurants.

**Corollary 11** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be  $n$  mutually independent random variables where  $X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_i)$ . Then  $Y \triangleq \min \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$  has an exponential distribution with rate  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ .

Now we consider the problem “who wins the race?”. That is, the restaurants are racing to see who will first have a customer. We first assume that there are only two random variables. Let  $f_\lambda$  be the probability density function of exponential distribution with rate  $\lambda$ . Using the law of total probability, we can compute the probability that  $X_1$  wins the race as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr [X_1 < X_2] &= \int_0^\infty f_{\lambda_1}(t) \Pr [X_2 \geq t] dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} e^{-\lambda_2 t} dt \\ &= \lambda_1 \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)t} dt = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, clearly, the probability that  $X_i$  wins the race among  $n$  random variables is  $\frac{\lambda_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j}$ .

### 2.3 Proof of Proposition 6

**Proposition 12 (Proposition 6 restated)** Suppose that  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  is a sequence of independent random variables that  $\tau_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ . Let  $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i$ . For  $s \geq 0$ , let  $N(s) = \max \{n \mid T_n \leq s\}$ . Then  $N(s)$  is a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i$  is the arrival time of the  $n$ -th customer. Let  $g_n$  be the probability density function of  $T_n$ . First we prove that the distribution of  $T_n$  follows the *Gamma distribution*  $\Gamma(n, \lambda)$ :

$$g_n(t) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \cdot \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} & t \geq 0, \\ 0 & t < 0. \end{cases}$$

We prove this by induction. Note that when  $n = 1$ ,  $T_1 = \tau_1 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda) = \Gamma(1, \lambda)$ . Suppose that  $T_n \sim \Gamma(n, \lambda)$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . By the independence of  $T_n$  and  $\tau_{n+1}$ , for  $t \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n+1}(t) &= \int_0^t g_n(s) \cdot f_\lambda(t-s) ds \\ &= \int_0^t \lambda e^{-\lambda s} \cdot \frac{(\lambda s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-s)} ds \\ &= \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \frac{\lambda^n}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t s^{n-1} ds \\ &= \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \frac{\lambda^n}{(n-1)!} \cdot \frac{t^n}{n} = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \cdot \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we compute the distribution of  $N(t)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr [N(t) = n] &= \Pr [T_n \geq t \wedge T_{n+1} > t] \\ &= \int_0^t g_n(s) \cdot \Pr [\tau_{n+1} > t-s] ds \\ &= \int_0^t \lambda e^{-\lambda s} \cdot \frac{(\lambda s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \cdot e^{-\lambda(t-s)} ds \\ &= \lambda^n e^{-\lambda t} \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda t)$ . Then we verify that  $\{N(t) : t \geq 0\}$  satisfies the three conditions in Definition 4.

First it is clear that  $N(t) = 0$  when  $t = 0$ . By the lack of memory property, we know that  $N(s+t) - N(s)$  follows the same distribution as  $N(t) - N(0)$ , which  $\text{Pois}(\lambda t)$ . Furthermore, it is easy to see that  $N(s+t) - N(s)$  is independent of  $N(r)$  for all  $r \leq s$  again by the lack of memory property. It implies that  $N(t)$  has independent increments, and hence completes our proof of Proposition 6.  $\square$

Imagine the difference between  $N(s+t) - N(s)$  and  $N(t) - N(0)$ . In the  $N(t) - N(0)$ , we start to wait for the first customer at time 0, while in  $N(s+t) - N(s)$ , at time  $s$ , we might have waited for the first customer in the period for sometime. However, due to the lack of memory property of the waiting time, this is equivalent to start to wait at time  $s$ .

## 2.4 Thinning

In the example of customers coming into the restaurant, sometimes we have a more detailed characterization of customers, such as the gender. We associate an i.i.d. random variable  $Y_i$  with  $i$ -th arrival, and then use the value of  $Y_i$  to label the arrival and separate the Poisson process into several. Suppose that  $Y_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $p_j = \Pr [Y_i = j]$ . For all  $j \in \text{Range}(Y_i)$ , let  $N_j(t)$  denote the number of arrivals with label  $j$  that have arrived by time  $t$ . Then  $\{N_j(t)\}$  is called a thinning of a Poisson process. We have the following useful and surprising proposition.

**Proposition 13** For each  $j$ ,  $\{N_j(t) : t \geq 0\}$  is a Poisson process with rate  $p_j\lambda$ . Moreover, the collections of processes  $\{\{N_j(t) : t \geq 0\} : j \in \text{Range}(Y)\}$  are mutually independent.

*Proof.* For convenience we assume that  $Y_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Then the following calculation concludes the independence and the distribution of  $N_j(t)$  at the same time.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr [N_0(t) = j \wedge N_1(t) = k] &= \Pr [N_0(t) = j \wedge N(t) = k + j] \\ &= \Pr [N(t) = k + j] \cdot \Pr [N_0(t) = j \mid N(t) = k + j] \\ &= e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{j+k}}{(j+k)!} \cdot \binom{j+k}{j} p_0^j p_1^k \\ &= e^{-p_0\lambda t} \frac{(p_0\lambda t)^j}{j!} \cdot e^{-p_1\lambda t} \frac{(p_1\lambda t)^k}{k!}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, when there are  $n$  labels, it easy to verify that  $N_j(t) \sim \text{Pois}(p_j\lambda)$  and they are mutually independent. □

Let's see an application of Poisson process.

**Example 2 (Maximum Likelihood of Poisson Process)** Suppose there are two editors reading a book of 300 pages. Editor A finds 100 typos in the book, and editor B finds 120 typos, 80 of which are in common.

Suppose that the author's typos follow a Poisson process with some unknown rate  $\lambda$  per page. The two editors catch typos with unknown probabilities of success  $p_A$  and  $p_B$  respectively. We want to know how many typos there actually are. We can estimate this by determining  $\lambda$ ,  $p_A$  and  $p_B$ . Clearly, there are four types of typos:

Type 1 The typo is found by neither of the editors. This happens w.p.  $q_1 = (1 - p_A)(1 - p_B)$ .

Type 2 The typo is found only by editor A. This happens w.p.  $q_2 = (1 - p_A)p_B$ .

Type 3 The typo is found only by editor B. This happens w.p.  $q_3 = (1 - p_B)p_A$ .

Type 4 The typo is found by both editors. This happens w.p.  $q_4 = p_A p_B$ .

So the occurrence of type  $i$  typos follows an independent Poisson process with rate  $q_i\lambda$ . That is, letting  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  and  $X_4$  be the occurrence time of the corresponding type of typos in this book, then  $X_i \sim \text{Pois}(300q_i\lambda)$ . Note that there are 20 typos of type 2, 40 typos of type 3 and 80 typos of type 4. We claim that the most likely values of the rates are

$$\begin{cases} 300(1 - p_A)p_B\lambda = 20, \\ 300(1 - p_B)p_A\lambda = 40, \\ 300p_Ap_B\lambda = 80. \end{cases}$$

This yields that  $p_A = \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $p_B = \frac{4}{5}$  and  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Here is an example explains why this proposition is surprising. Assume that the customers coming into a restaurant is a Poisson process, and each customer is male or female independently with probability 1/2 and 1/2 respectively. In fact we can assume that we flip a coin to determine whether the arriving customer is male or female. So intuitively, one might think that a large number of men (such as 50) arriving in one hour would indicates a large volume of business and hence a larger than normal number of women arriving. However this proposition tells us that the number of men arriving and the number of women arriving are independent.

It remains to prove that claim. Suppose  $X \sim \text{Pois}(\theta)$  with some unknown  $\theta$ . Then given  $z$ , our goal is to find  $\arg \max_{\theta} \Pr [X = z | X \sim \text{Pois}(\theta)]$ . Note that  $\Pr [X = z | X \sim \text{Pois}(\theta)] = e^{-\theta} \frac{\theta^z}{z!}$  and  $\log e^{-\theta} \frac{\theta^z}{z!} = -\theta + z \cdot \log \theta$ . So it is equivalent to find

$$\arg \max_{\theta} -\theta + z \cdot \log \theta \quad (2)$$

Let the derivation of Equation (2) equals to 0. We have  $\theta = z$ , that is,  $\arg \max_{\theta} e^{-\theta} \frac{\theta^z}{z!} = z$ .