## [AI2613 Lecture 7] Doob Martingale, Azuma-Hoeffding, McDiarmid

Fune 15, 2023

## 1 Hoeffding's Inequality

We introduced the following Hoeffding's inequality to bound the concentration for the sum of a sequence independent random variables.

Theorem 1 (Hoeffding's Inequality) Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be independent random variables where each $X_{i} \in\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]$ for certain $a_{i} \leq b_{i}$ with probability 1. Let $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ and $\mu \triangleq \mathbf{E}[X]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left[X_{i}\right]$, then

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[|X-\mu| \geq t] \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{2 t^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

for all $t \geq 0$.
Before proving Theorem 1 in Section 3, we see a practical application of Hoeffding's inequality.

Example 1 (Meal Delivery) During the quarantine of our campus, the professors deliver meals for students using their private cars or trikes. Then a practical problem is how to estimate the amount of meals on a trike conveniently (See the news).

Assume there are $n$ boxes of meal on the trike ( $n \geq 200$ and is unknown for us). Let $X_{i}$ be the weight of the i-th box of meal. Assume that $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are i.i.d. random variables, each $X_{i} \in[250,350]$ (unit: gram) and $\mu=\mathrm{E}\left[X_{i}\right]=300$. Let $S$ be the total weight of the meal boxes on the trike, that is, $S=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$. We can weigh the meal boxes and use $\hat{n}=\frac{S}{\mu}$ as an estimator for $n$. Now we compute how accurate this estimator is.

Let $\delta \geq 0$ be a constant. By Hoeffding's inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}[|\hat{n}-n|>\delta n]=\operatorname{Pr}[|S-\mu n|>\delta \mu n] \leq 2 \exp \left\{-\frac{2 \delta^{2} \mu^{2} n^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(350-250)^{2}}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging $\mu=300, \delta=0.05$ and $n \geq 200$ into Equation (1), by direct calculation, we have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[\hat{n} \in[0.95 n, 1.05 n]] \geq 1-2.4682 \times 10^{-4}
$$

## 2 Concentration on Martingale

We consider the balls-in-a-bag problem. There are $g$ green balls and $r$ red balls in a bag. These balls are the all same except for the color. We want to estimate the ratio $\frac{r}{r+g}$ by drawing balls. There are two scenarios.

- Draw balls with replacement. Let $X_{i}=1$ [the $i$-th ball is red]. Let $X=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$. Then clearly each $X_{i} \sim \operatorname{Ber}\left(\frac{r}{r+g}\right)$ and $\mathbf{E}[X]=n \cdot \frac{r}{r+b}$.
Since all $X_{i}$ 's are independent, we can directly apply Hoeffding's inequality and obtain

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[|X-\mathbf{E}[X]| \geq t] \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{2 t^{2}}{n}\right)
$$

- Draw balls without replacement. Again we let $Y_{i}=\mathbf{1}$ [the $i$-th ball is red], then unlike the case of drawing with replacement, variables in $\left\{Y_{i}\right\}$ are dependent. Let $Y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}$. We first calculate $\mathbf{E}[Y]$.

For every $i \geq 1, \mathrm{E}\left[Y_{i}\right]$ is the probability that the $i$-th draw is a red ball. Note that drawing without replacement is equivalent to first drawing a uniform permutation of $r+g$ balls and drawing each ball one by one in that order. Therefore, the probabilty of $Y_{i}=1$ is $\frac{r \cdot(r+g-1)!}{(r+g)!}=\frac{r}{r+g}$. So we have $\mathrm{E}[Y]=n \cdot \frac{r}{r+g}$.

However, since $\left\{Y_{i}\right\}$ are dependent, we cannot apply Hoeffding's inequality directly. This motivate us to generalize it by removing the requirement of independence.

### 2.1 Azuma-Hoeffding's Inequality

Theorem 2 (Azuma-Hoeffding's Inequality) Let $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a martingale with respect to a filtration $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{n}\right\}$. If for every $i \geq 1,\left|Z_{i}-Z_{i-1}\right| \leq c_{i}$ with probability 1, then

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[\left|Z_{n}-Z_{0}\right| \geq t\right] \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{2 t^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2}}\right)
$$

Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality generalizes Hoeffding's inequality by letting $Z_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\mathrm{E}\left[X_{i}\right]\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{n}=\sigma\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$.

The proof of this theorem is in Section 3. The requirement of martingale in Theorem 2 seems to be even harder to satisfy than the requirement of independence. However, in many cases, we can construct a doob martingale to apply the Azuma-Hoeffding's inequality.

Definition 3 (Doob Martingale, Doob Sequence) Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a sequence of (unnecessarily independent) random variables and $f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right)=$ $f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ be a function. For $i \geq 0$, Let $Z_{i} \triangleq \mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{X}_{1, i}\right]$. Then we call $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ a Doob martingale or a Doob sequence.

It is easy to verify that $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ in Definition 3 is indeed a martingale w.r.t. $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ by seeing

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[Z_{i} \mid \bar{X}_{1, i-1}\right]=\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{X}_{1, i}\right] \mid \bar{X}_{1, i-1}\right]=\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{X}_{1, i-1}\right]=Z_{i-1} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}=\sigma\left(\bar{X}_{1, i}\right)$. We can see that $Z_{i}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ measurable by definition. Moreover, we know that $Z_{0}=\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right)\right]$ and $Z_{n}=f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right)$.

Recall the balls-in-a-bag problem we discussed above. Define $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ by letting $f\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}$. Then in the drawing without replacement scenario, $Y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}=f\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$. Now we construct the Doob martingale for $f$.

Let $Z_{i}=\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{Y}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{Y}_{1, i}\right]$. We know that $Z_{0}=\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{Y}_{1, n}\right)\right]=\mathbf{E}[Y]=$ $n \cdot \frac{r}{r+g}$ and $Z_{n}=f\left(\bar{Y}_{1, n}\right)$. In order to apply Azuma-Hoeffding, we need to bound the width of the martingale $\left|Z_{i}-Z_{i-1}\right|$.

By definition,

$$
Z_{i}-Z_{i-1}=\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{Y}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{Y}_{1, i}\right]-\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{Y}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{Y}_{1, i-1}\right] .
$$

If we use $S_{i}$ to denote the number of red balls among the first $i$ balls, namely $S_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} Y_{j}$, then

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{Y}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{Y}_{1, i}\right]=\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{Y}_{1, n}\right) \mid S_{i}\right]=S_{i}+(n-i) \cdot \frac{r-S_{i}}{g+r-i} .
$$

Therefore $S_{i}=S_{i-1}+Y_{i}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{i}-Z_{i-1} & =\left(S_{i}+(n-i) \cdot \frac{r-S_{i}}{g+r-i}\right)-\left(S_{i-1}+(n-i+1) \cdot \frac{r-S_{i-1}}{g+r-i+1}\right) \\
& =\frac{g+r-n}{g+r-i}\left(Y_{i}+\frac{S_{i-1}-r}{g+r-i+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $r \geq S_{i-1}$ and $g \geq(i-1)-S_{i-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{i}-Z_{i-1} \leq \frac{g+r-n}{g+r-i}\left(1+\frac{S_{i-1}-r}{g+r-i+1}\right) \leq \frac{g+r-n}{g+r-i} \leq 1 \\
& Z_{i}-Z_{i-1} \geq \frac{g+r-n}{g+r-i}\left(\frac{S_{i-1}-r}{g+r-i+1}\right) \geq-\frac{g+r-n}{g+r-i} \geq-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $-1 \leq X_{i} \leq 1$ and we can apply Azuma-Hoeffding to $Z_{n}-Z_{0}$ to obtain

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[|Y-\mathbf{E}[Y]| \geq t] \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{t^{2}}{2 n}\right)
$$

### 2.2 McDiarmids Inequality

The Doob sequence we used in the balls-in-a-bag example is a very powerful and general tool to obtain concentration bounds. For a model defined by $n$ random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ and any quantity $f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ that we want to estimate, we can apply the Azuma-Hoeffding inequality to the Doob sequence of $f$. As shown in the previous example, the quality of the bound relies on the width of the martingale, that is, the magnitude of $\left|Z_{i}-Z_{i-1}\right|$.
To determine the width of each $\left|Z_{i}-Z_{i-1}\right|$ is relatively easy if the function $f$ and the variables $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ enjoy certain nice properties.

Definition 4 ( $c$-Lipschitz Function) A function $f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ satisfies $c$ Lipschitz condition if
$\forall i \in[n], \forall x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, \forall y_{i}: \quad\left|f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{i}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, y_{i}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right| \leq c$.
The McDiarmid's inequality is the application of Azuma-Hoeffding inequality to Lipschitz $f$ and independent $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$.

Theorem 5 (McDiarmid's Inequality) Let $f$ be a function on $n$ variables satisfying $c$-Lipschitz condition and $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}$ be $n$ independent variables.
Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[\left|f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)-\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)\right]\right| \geq t\right] \leq 2 e^{-\frac{2 t^{2}}{n c^{2}}}
$$

Proof. We use $f$ and $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$ to define a Doob martingale $\left\{Z_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$ :

$$
\forall i: Z_{i}=\mathrm{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{X}_{1, i}\right]
$$

Then

$$
Z_{i}-Z_{i-1}=\mathrm{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{X}_{1, i}\right]-\mathrm{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{X}_{1, i-1}\right]
$$

Next we try to determine the width of $Z_{i}-Z_{i-1}$. Clearly

$$
Z_{i}-Z_{i-1} \geq \inf _{x}\left\{\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{X}_{1, i-1}, X_{i}=x\right]-\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{X}_{1, i-1}\right]\right\}
$$

and

$$
Z_{i}-Z_{i-1} \leq \sup _{y}\left\{\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{X}_{1, i-1}, X_{i}=y\right]-\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{X}_{1, i-1}\right]\right\} .
$$

The gap between the upper bound and the lower bound is

$$
\sup _{x, y}\left\{\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{X}_{1, i-1}, X_{i}=y\right]-\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bar{X}_{1, i-1}, X_{i}=x\right]\right\}
$$

For every $x, y$ and $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} X_{j}=\sigma_{j}, X_{i}=y\right]-\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(\bar{X}_{1, n}\right) \mid \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} X_{j}=\sigma_{j}, X_{i}=x\right] \\
&= \sum_{\sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}}\left(\operatorname{Pr}\left[\bigwedge_{i+1 \leq j \leq n} X_{j}=\left.\sigma_{j}\right|_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} X_{j}=\sigma_{j}, X_{i}=y\right] \cdot f\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i-1}, y, \sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)\right. \\
&\left.-\operatorname{Pr}\left[\bigwedge_{i+1 \leq j \leq n} X_{j}=\sigma_{j} \mid \bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} X_{j}=\sigma_{j}, X_{i}=x\right] \cdot f\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i-1}, x, \sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \stackrel{(\mathcal{\varrho})}{=} \sum_{\sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}} \operatorname{Pr}\left[\bigwedge_{i+1 \leq j \leq n} X_{j}=\sigma_{j}\right] \cdot\left(f\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i-1}, y, \sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)-f\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i-1}, x, \sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \text { (夫) } c .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(\odot)$ uses independence of $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ and (e) uses the $c$-Lipsichitz property of $f$.

Applying Azuma-Hoeffding, we have
$\operatorname{Pr}\left[\left|Z_{n}-Z_{0}\right| \geq t\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\left|f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)-\mathbf{E}\left[f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)\right]\right| \geq t\right] \leq 2 e^{-\frac{2 t^{2}}{n c^{2}}}$.

Then we examine two applications of McDiarmid's inequality.
Example 2 (Pattern matching) Let $P \in\{0,1\}^{k}$ be a fixed string. For a random string $X \in\{0,1\}^{n}$, what is the expected number of occurrences of $P$ in $X$ ?

The expectation of occurrence times can be easily calculated using the linearity of expectations. We define $n$ independent random variables $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}$, where $X_{i}$ denotes $i$-th character of $X$. Let $Y=f\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ be the number of occurrences of $P$ in $X$. Note that there are at most $n-k+1$ occurrences of $P$ in $X$, and we can enumerate the first position of each occurrence. By the linearity of expectation, we have

$$
\mathbf{E}[f]=\frac{n-k+1}{2^{k}}
$$

We can then use McDarmid's inequality to show that $f$ is well-concentrated. To see this, we note that variables in $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ are independent and the function $f$ is $k$-Lipschitz: If we change one bit of $X$, the number of occurrences changes at most $k$.

Therefore

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[\left|Z_{n}-Z_{0}\right| \geq t\right]=\operatorname{Pr}[|f-\mathbf{E}[f]| \geq t] \leq 2 e^{-\frac{2 t^{2}}{n k^{2}}}
$$

Another application of McDiarmid's Inequality is to establish the concentration of chromatic number for Erdős-Rényi random graphs $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$.

Example 3 (Chromatic Number of $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ ) Recall the notation $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ specifies a distribution over all undirected simple graphs with $n$ vertices. In the model, each of the $\binom{n}{2}$ possible edges exists with probability $p$ independently.

For a graph $G \sim \mathcal{G}(n, p)$, we use $\chi(G)$ to denote its chromatic number, the minimum number $q$ so that $G$ can be properly colored using $q$ colors. There are different ways to represent $G$ using random variables.

The most natural way is to introduce a variable $X_{e}$ for every pair of vertices $e=\{u, v\} \subseteq V$ where $X_{e}=1[$ the edge e exists in $G]$. Then $\left\{X_{e}\right\}$ are independent and the chromatic number can be written as a function $\chi\left(X_{e_{1}}, X_{e_{2}}, \ldots, X_{\binom{n}{2}}\right)$. It is easy to see that $\chi$ is 1 -Lipschitz as removing to adding one edge can only change the chromatic number by at most one. So by McDarmid's inequality, we have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[|\chi-\mathbf{E}[\chi]| \geq t] \leq 2 e^{-2 t^{2}\binom{n}{2}^{-1}}
$$

However, this bound is not satisfactory as we need to set $t=\Theta(n)$ in order to upper bound the RHS by a constant.

We can encode the graph $G$ in a more efficient way while reserving the Lipschitz and the independence property. Suppose the vertex set of $G$ is $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. We define $n$ random variables $Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{n}$, where $Y_{i}$ encodes the edges between $v_{i}$ and $\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{i-1}\right\}$. Once $Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{n}$ are given, the graph is known, so the chromatic number can be written as a function $\chi\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)$. Since $Y_{i}$ only involves the connections between $v_{i}$ and $v_{1}, \cdots, v_{i-1}$, the $n$ variables are independent.

It is also easy to see that if $Y_{i}$ changes, the chromatic number changes at most one. Hence $\chi$ is 1-Lipschitz as well. Applying McDiarmid's inequality we have

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[|\chi-\mathbf{E}[\chi]| \geq t] \leq 2 e^{-\frac{2 t^{2}}{n}}
$$

In this way, we only need $t=\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ to bound the RHS.

## 3 Proof

### 3.1 Proof of Theorem 1

First, we prove the following Hoeffding's lemma which will be the main technical ingredient to prove the inequality.

Lemma 6 Let $X$ be a random variable with $\mathrm{E}[X]=0$ and $X \in[a, b]$. Then it holds that

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[e^{\alpha X}\right] \leq \exp \left(\frac{\alpha^{2}(b-a)^{2}}{8}\right) \text { for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Proof.
We first find a linear function to upper bound $e^{\alpha x}$ so that we could apply the linearity of expectation to bound $\mathbf{E}\left[e^{\alpha X}\right]$. By the convexity of the exponential function and as illustrated in the figure below, we have

$$
e^{\alpha x} \leq \frac{e^{\alpha b}-e^{\alpha a}}{b-a}(x-a)+e^{\alpha a}, \text { for all } a \leq x \leq b
$$

Thus,


$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left[e^{\alpha x}\right] & \leq \frac{e^{\alpha b}-e^{\alpha a}}{b-a}(-a)+e^{\alpha a}=\frac{-a}{b-a} e^{\alpha b}+\frac{b}{b-a} e^{\alpha a} \\
& =e^{\alpha a}\left(\frac{b}{b-a}-\frac{a}{b-a} e^{\alpha(b-a)}\right) \\
& =e^{-\theta t}\left(1-\theta+\theta e^{t}\right) \quad\left(\theta=-\frac{a}{b-a}, t=\alpha(b-a)\right) \\
& \triangleq e^{g(t)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
g(t)=-\theta t+\log \left(1-\theta+\theta e^{t}\right)
$$

By Taylor's theorem, for every real $t$ there exists a $\delta$ between 0 and $t$ such that,

$$
g(t)=g(0)+t g^{\prime}(0)+\frac{1}{2} g^{\prime \prime}(\delta) t^{2}
$$

Note that,

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
g(0) & =0 \\
g^{\prime}(0) & =-\theta+\left.\frac{\theta e^{t}}{1-\theta+\theta e^{t}}\right|_{t=0} \\
& =0 \\
g^{\prime \prime}(\delta) & =\frac{\theta e^{t}\left(1-\theta+\theta e^{t}\right)-\theta e^{t}}{\left(1-\theta+\theta e^{t}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{(1-\theta) \theta e^{t}}{\left(1-\theta+\theta e^{t}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{(1-\theta) \theta}{\theta^{2} z+2(1-\theta) \theta+\frac{(1-\theta)^{2}}{z}} \\
& \leq \frac{(1-\theta) \theta}{2 \theta(1-\theta)+2(1-\theta) \theta} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}
\end{array}
$$

Thus

$$
g(t) \leq 0+t \cdot 0+\frac{1}{2} t^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4}=\frac{1}{8} t^{2}=\frac{1}{8} \alpha^{2}(b-a)^{2}
$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{E}\left[e^{\alpha x}\right] \leq \exp \left(\frac{\alpha^{2}(b-a)^{2}}{8}\right)$ holds.
Armed with Hoeffding's lemma, it is routine to prove Hoeffding's inequality.
Proof. [Proof of Theorem 1]
First note that we can assume $\mathbf{E}\left[X_{i}\right]=0$ and therefore $\mu=0$ (if not so, replace $X_{i}$ by $\left.X_{i}-\mathbf{E}\left[X_{i}\right]\right)$. By symmetry, we only need to prove that $\operatorname{Pr}[X \geq t] \leq \exp \left(-\frac{2 t^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)^{2}}\right)$. Since

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[X \geq t] \stackrel{\alpha>0}{=} \operatorname{Pr}\left[e^{\alpha X} \geq e^{\alpha t}\right] \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}\left[e^{\alpha X}\right]}{e^{\alpha t}}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[e^{\alpha X}\right]=\mathbf{E}\left[e^{\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}\right]=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left[e^{\alpha X_{i}}\right],
$$

applying Hoeffding's lemma for each $\mathbf{E}\left[e^{\alpha X_{i}}\right]$ yields

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[e^{\alpha X_{i}}\right] \leq \exp \left(\frac{\alpha^{2}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)^{2}}{8}\right)
$$

Let $\alpha=\frac{4 t}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)^{2}}$, we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}[X \geq t] \leq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left[e^{\alpha X_{i}}\right]}{e^{\alpha t}} & \leq \exp \left(-\alpha t+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(-\frac{2 t^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 2

Now we will sketch a proof of the Azuma-Hoeffding, which is quite similar to our proof of the Hoeffding inequality.
Proof. [Proof of Theorem 2]
Recall when we were trying to prove the Hoeffding inequality, the most difficult part is to estimate the term

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[e^{\alpha Z_{n}}\right]=e^{\alpha Z_{0}} \cdot \mathbf{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{\alpha\left(Z_{i}-Z_{i-1}\right)}\right]
$$

In the case of Azuma-Hoeffding, we can use the property of martingales instead of independence to obtain a bound of this term. To see this, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{\alpha Z_{i}-Z_{i-1}}\right] & =\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{\alpha Z_{i}-Z_{i-1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right]\right] \\
& =\mathbf{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{\alpha Z_{i}-Z_{i-1}} \mathbf{E}\left[e^{\alpha Z_{n}-Z_{n-1}} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right]\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The bounds then follows by an induction argument and a conditional expectation version of Hoeffding lemma:

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[e^{\alpha\left(Z_{n}-Z_{n-1}\right)} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right] \leq e^{-\frac{\alpha c_{i}^{2}}{8}}
$$

The proof is almost the same as our proof of Hoeffding lemma in the last lecture.

